Case 1:14-cv-01738-SAS Document 9 Filed 04/08/14 Page 1 of 4

SOUTHERN DIST	DISTRICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILE DOC #:
MAKEDA ALLEN	-FRANCIS,	DATE FILED: 4/8/19
CORPORATION, a	Plaintiff, -against- W YORK, RIVERBAY and CO-OP CITY F PUBLIC SAFETY	SCHEDULING ORDER 14-CV-1738 (SAS)
	Defendants.	V
P. 16(b) (the "Order WHEREAS scheduling order co	"); and the Order requires that the part ntaining certain information;	Conference in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. ies jointly prepare and sign a proposed omit the following information as required by
(1) the date of the	ne conference and the appearance	es for the parties;
Initial Conference:	April 7, 2014 at 4:30 p.m.	
Appearances:	William J. Sanyer for plaintif Michael V. Kuntz for defend Co-op City Department of Pu Gary Moy for defendant City	ants Riverbay Corporation ("Riverbay") and ablic Safety ("Co-op City");
(2) the date by which	h automatic disclosures will be	exchanged;
Initial disclosures w	rill be completed on April 21, 20)14.
(3) a concise sta	tement of the issues as they the	n appear;

<u>Plaintiff</u>: Plaintiff Makeda Allen-Francis alleges that on July 6, 2013, she was subject to an unlawful arrest, assault and battery, and violation of constitutional rights. Plaintiff alleges bodily and mental injuries.

Defendant Riverbay Corporation and Co-op City Department of Public Safety: Defendants Riverbay and Co-op City allege that plaintiff interfered with a lawful arrest, and, therefore, there was probable cause to arrest plaintiff for disorderly conduct. Defendants deny plaintiff's claims of unlawful arrest, assault and battery, and violation of her constitutional rights.

<u>Defendant City of New York</u>: Defendant asserts that plaintiff fails to state a claim against the City of New York. At this time, defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in plaintiff's complaint. Counsel for defendant City has submitted a §160.50 release for plaintiff's execution to obtain documents, if any, relating to plaintiff's allegations.

- (4) a schedule including:
 - (a) the names of persons to be deposed and a schedule of planned depositions;

<u>Plaintiff</u>: Plaintiff intends to depose at least 3 of 11 Co-op City officers involved in the alleged incident, as well as an y other individuals who may subsequently be disclosed.

<u>Defendants Riverbay and Co-op City</u>: Defendants intend to depose plaintiff, Makeda Allen-Francis. Defendants may also depose plaintiff's treating physicians and any other individuals who may subsequently be disclosed.

<u>Defendant City</u>: Defendant intends to depose plaintiff, <u>Makeda Allen-Francis</u>; 11 Co-op City officers or employees who were involved in or witnessed the alleged incident; witness Keishaun Harris; and any other witnesses to the incident. Defendant may also depose plaintiff's treating physicians and any other individuals who may subsequently be disclosed.

(b) a schedule for the production of documents;

The parties will each serve demands for production of documents by May 7, 2014. The parties will respond by July 7, 2014.

(c) dates by which (i) each expert's reports will be supplied to the adverse side and (ii) each expert's deposition will be completed;

Plaintiff to submit any expert reports by October 1, 2014.

IME

July - Verfox

Defendants to submit expert reports by November 3, 2014.

Expert depositions to be completed by December 1, 2014.

(d) time when discovery is to be completed:

December χ , 2014

(e) the date by which plaintiff will supply its pre-trial order matters to defendant;

February Z, 2015

(f) the date by which the parties will submit a pre-trial order in a form conforming with the Court's instructions together with trial briefs and either (1) proposed

		findings of fact and conclusions of law for a non-jury trial, or (2) proposed voir dire questions and proposed jury instructions, for a jury trial:		
		March 15, 2015		
	(g)	a space for a date for a final pre-trial conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(d), to be filled in by the Court at the conference. (leave blank)		
(5) confid		ement of any limitation to be placed on discovery, including any protective or v orders:		
		ity of New York will seek a protective order similar to the one in place in the ern District of New York's Plan for Certain § 1983 Cases Against the City of New		
(6) were t		tement of those discovery issues, if any, on which counsel, after a good faith effort, e to reach an agreement:		
City h	At this point in the litigation, the parties do not have any discovery issues. Defendant has submitted a § 160.50 release for plaintiff's execution.			
(7)	anticip	pated fields of expert testimony, if any:		
	Securi	ty and medical experts.		
(8)	anticip	pated length of trial and whether to Court or jury;		
	The pa	arties anticipate that the trial would last 2 weeks. The parties request a trial by jury.		
(9) forese		cheduling Order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause not the time of the conference or when justice so requires;		

(10)names, addresses, phone number and signatures of counsel;

DAVID HOROWITZ, P.C 276 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10001 (212) 686-3779

By:

WILLIAM J. SANYER, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff

By:

MICHAEL V. KUNTZ Attorney for Defendant: Riverbay

SMITH, MAZURE, DIRECTOR, WILKINS,

YOUNG & YAGERMAN, P.C.

New York, New York 10038

111 John Street

(212) 485-8708

Corporation and Co-op City Department of

Public Safety

ZACHARY W. CARTER Corporation Counsel of the City of New York 100 Church Street

New York, New York 10007

(212) 356-8322

By:

MOY, ES

Attorney for Defendant City of New York

SO ORDERED:

SNIRA A. SCHEINDLIN, U.S.D.J.